

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/890,164	DASSOULAS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eileen P. Morgan	3723	

All Participants:

(1) Eileen P. Morgan.

(2) Mr. Taylor.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date f Interview: 25 May 2006

Time: 1:30pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Gakhar et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to amend claim 1 by adding the recitation of a 'plurality of radially recessed members' to overcome the prior art rejection to Gakhar et al. See attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)